

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,361	07/12/2001	Roy C. Krohn	KRO 0129 PUS	3010
75	590 11/21/2002			
Michael S. Brodbine		EXAMINER		
Brooks & Kushman P.C. 22nd Floor			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
1000 Town Cen			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Southfield, MI 48075-1351				FAFER NUMBER
			1712	1,
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	904361	O A-411-2	
,	Examiner	KER 1712	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address	
P riod f r Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SEOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	a, a reply within the statutory min	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication .	
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		•	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>	cept for formal matters, <b>pro</b> 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	secution as to the merits is closed in 13.	
Disp sition of Claims			
Claim(s) 1 − 23		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)			
≰ Claim(s) 20 - 23			
7 Claim(s) 1 — 19			
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			
• •		requirement.	
Annlicati n Panere			
	owing Povious PTO 049		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	-	□ disapproved	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	is 🗆 approved		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of</li> </ul>	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> </ul>	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of is/are of</li></ul>	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	)-(d).	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra         □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents by	)-(d). have been	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra         □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) a of the priority documents by the priority by	)-(d). have been	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) a of the priority documents by the priority by the priority by the priority by the priority by the p	)-(d). have been 	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra         □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) a of the priority documents by the priority by the priority by the priority by the priority by the p	)-(d). have been 	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  Pri rity und r 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents by the priority do	)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  Pri rity und r 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the  *Certified copies not received: □ *Certified copies *Certified copies *Certified copies *Certified copies *Certified	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents by the priority do	)-(d). have been 	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Application/Control Number: 09/904361 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 05-311103.

JP 5-311103 teaches a photocurable composition comprising a photocurable organic mixture, a photoinitiator, and silver particles. The silver particles may be a combination of silver powder and silver flakes having the flakes in greater than 20 % amount (see translation).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/904361 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

4. Claims 1, 14-16, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 5-311103.

JP 5-311103 teaches a photocurable composition comprising a photocurable organic mixture, a photoinitiator, and silver particles. The silver particles may be a combination of silver powder and silver flakes having the flakes in greater than 20 % amount (see translation). JP 5-311103 differs from the present invention in that the specific amount of silver powder and silver flakes is not disclosed in the examples. JP '103 teaches that the flakes may comprise from 10-75% of the silver powder mixture, and further teaches examples which comprise about 80-85% of the silver flake and powder mixture. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a combination of silver powder and silver flakes in the composition, said composition having 50-60% of powder, or 25-35% of flakes. JP '103 further differs in not specifying the amount of initiator used in the composition. The variation of the amount of initiator to achieve optimum curing time of the composition would be an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art (In re Aller 105 USPQ 233).

### **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

Application/Control Number: 09/904361

Art Unit: 1712

harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,290,881. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although US 6,290,881 differs in teaching specific powder sizes, or organic mixture, both claims teach the same silver composition comprising the same amounts of flakes and powder, and thus the present claims would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art over the claims of US 6,290,881.

Art Unit: 1712

- 7. Claims 20-23 are allowable over the art of record.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The after final fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2680 November 15, 2002

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712